



THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

LUCKNOW

Petition No. 2246 of 2025

QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

Hon'ble Shri Griesh Kumar Vaish, Member (Law)

IN THE MATTER OF

Petition under Regulation 14 of UPERC (Terms and Conditions for Determination Generation Tariff) Regulations, 2024 read with Section 61, Section 62 and Section 86 Electricity Act, 2003 seeking approval of Multi Year Tariff for the Control Period FY 2024-25 to FY 2028-29.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

Rosa Power Supply Company Ltd. (RPSCL)

Reliance Centre, Ballard Estate, Mumbai, Maharashtra- 400001

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

U.P. Power Corporation Ltd. (UPPCL)

Shakti Bhawan, 14-Ashok Marg, Lucknow-226001

..... Respondent

FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT

1. Shri Nitish Gupta, Advocate, UPPCL
2. Ms. Varnika Tyagi, Advocate, UPPCL
3. Shri Sumeet Notani, Additional V.P., RPSCL
4. Shri Sidhartha Shekhawat, GM, RPSCL
5. Shri Dharm Ratna, AE-PPA, UPPCL
6. Shri Kashi Nath Yadav, EE-PPA, UPPCL
7. Shri Anil Kumar, SE, UPPCL
8. Shri Manish Dwivedi, CE (PPA), UPPCL






ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 01.10.2026)

1. During the hearing, the learned counsel for the Respondent submitted that the Petitioner, vide their rejoinder dated 29.09.2026, had revised its claim towards additional capitalisation expenditure and sought additional four weeks to file its reply to the said rejoinder.
2. The Commission enquired from the Petitioner regarding the revisions made to the additional capitalisation and why said revision could not have been considered at the stage of filing the True-up Petition. In response, Sh. Sumeet Notani, representative of the Petitioner, submitted that additional capitalization of Rs. 34.00 crore claimed towards the raising of the bund for Ash Dyke Lagoon-2 (2nd raising) had been revised to Rs.42 crore, as the cost of owner-supplied materials amounting to Rs. 8.10 crore was inadvertently not considered by the Petitioner while claiming the additional capitalisation in the True-up Petition. Hence, the Petitioner had revised the additional capitalisation claim to include the said amount. In addition to the aforesaid revision in the additional capitalisation, revisions had also been made to the tariff components in line with the True-up Order issued by the Commission dated 02.09.2026 in Petition No. 2143 of 2024.
3. The Commission grants two weeks' time to the Respondent to file its reply and observes that the date for the public hearing would be fixed through the Admittance Order.


(Griesh Kumar Vaish)
Member (Law)


(Sanjay Kumar Singh)
Member


(Arvind Kumar)
Chairman



Place: Lucknow
Dated: 08.10.2026