



**THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
LUCKNOW**

Petition No. 2237 of 2025

QUORUM:

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman
Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member
Hon'ble Shri Griesh Kumar Vaish, Member(Law)

IN THE MATTER OF:

Petition under Section 62 and 86 (1) of the Electricity Act 2003 together with relevant provisions of Uttar Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2019 and UPERC (Terms and Conditions of Generation Tariff) Regulations, 2024 for approval of Final Capital Cost, Annual Revenue Requirement & determination of provisional Tariff in respect of (2X660 MW) Obra C Thermal Power Station of U. P. Rajya Vidyut Utpadan Nigam (UPRVUNL).

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

U. P. Rajya Vidyut Utpadan Nigam Limited (UPRVUNL),
14th Floor, Shakti Bhawan Ext., 14-Ashok Marg, Lucknow-226001.

.....Petitioner

Versus

- 1. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited (UPPCL),**
Shakti Bhawan, 14-Ashok Marg, Lucknow-226001.
- 2. Madhyanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd.(MVVNL)**
4-A, Gokhale Marg, Lucknow-226001.
- 3. Poorvanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd.(PuVVNL)**
DLW, Bhikaripur , Vidyut Nagar, Varanasi-221004
- 4. Paschimanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd.(PVVNL)**
Urja Bhawan, Victoria Park, Meerut-250001





5. Dakshinachal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd.(DVVNL)

Urja Bhawan, NH-2, Sikandara Road, Agra-282007.

6. Kanpur Electricity Supply Co. Ltd.

Kesa House, 14/71, Civil Lines, Kanpur-208001

.....Respondents

THE FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT

1. Ms. Puja Priyadarshini, Advocate, UPPCL
2. Shri. Deeptanshu Chandak, Advocate, UPPCL
3. Shri. Manish Dwivedi, CE(PPA), UPPCL
4. Shri. Jagnayak Singh, SE(PPA), UPPCL
5. Shri. Gajendra Singh, EE(PPA), UPPCL
6. Shri. Dinesh Kumar, AE(PPA), UPPCL
7. Shri. Shailendra Tewari, Consultant, UPRVUNL
8. Shri. Raj Kumar Verma AE(Com.), UPRVUNL
9. Shri. Divynashu Bhatt, Advocate, UPRVUNL
10. Shri. Abhayaditya Singh, Advocate, UPRVUNL

ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING:14.07.2026)

1. Shri Divyanshu Bhatt, the learned counsel of Petitioner submitted that the Commission, vide order dated 5.2.2024 in Petition No.1991/2023, had approved provisional tariff for unit-1 on the basis of actual 90% of the actual expenditure of Rs. 8698 Cr. The present petition has been filed for provisional tariff for Obra C station comprising of both Unit 1 & Unit 2. The actual expenditure as per Auditor certificate is Rs. 10933.61 Cr. excluding expenditure for FGD (Rs. 489 Cr.) and SCR (Rs. 167 Cr.).
2. Shri. Bhatt, argued that the current petition pertains to determination of provisional tariff under Regulation 14 of the UPERC (Terms & Conditions of Generation Tariff) Regulations, 2024 whereas most of the objection of UPPCL are regarding justification for time and cost overrun, their supporting documents and completeness of tariff forms filed with the petition. He argued that once the







Commission determines and approves the provisional tariff, the process of determination of final capital cost and final tariff would take place and relevant supporting documents along with justification would be submitted.

3. Ms. Puja Priyadarshini, the learned counsel of UPPCL submitted that justification of time and cost overrun with supporting documents are important for the Commission while determining the extent up to which the provisional tariff is to be allowed, which is presently up to 90% of the annual fixed cost as per the UPERC (Terms & Conditions of Generation Tariff) Regulations, 2024.
4. The Commission after hearing both the parties advises UPRVUNL to improve their filing in future while filing petition for determination of provisional tariff.

Order reserved.


(Griesh Kumar Vaish)
Member (Law)


(Sanjay Kumar Singh)
Member


(Arvind Kumar)
Chairman

Place: Lucknow
Dated: 31.07.2026

