

THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION LUCKNOW

Petition No. 2217 of 2025

OUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

Petition under Section 86(1)(b) & (f) along with other relevant provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking additional tariff on account of 'Change in Law' events and quantification of tariff escalation on account of increase in capital cost as claimed in the prayer of this Petition.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

1. B & G Renewable Energy Private Ltd. (BGREPL),

New No. 25, Old No. 10, Sir Madhavan Nair Road, Mahalingapuram, Nungambakkam, Chennai – 600034.

2. Nirgajini Hydel Project Pvt. Ltd. (NHPL),

New No. 25, Old No. 10, Sir Madhavan Nair Road, Mahalingapuram, Nungambakkam, Chennai – 600034.

3. Salawa Hydel Project Pvt. Ltd. (SHPL),

New No. 25, Old No. 10, Sir Madhavan Nair Road, Mahalingapuram, Nungambakkam, Chennai – 600034.

...... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd. (UPPCL),

Through its Managing Director,



3 :

Page 1 of 3

54



Shakti Bhawan 14 - Ashok Marg, Lucknow - 226001.

2. U.P. Rajya Vidut Utpadan Nigam Ltd. (UPRVUNL),

Formerly- U.P. Jal Vidyut Nigam Limited,
Through its Managing Director,
Shakti Bhawan 14 – Ashok Marg, Lucknow – 226001.

3. Principal Secretary,

Irrigation Department, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh,
Sachivalaya Annexe, Bapu Bhawan, Lucknow-226001

.....Respondent(s)

FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT

- 1. Sh. Shailesh Verma, Advocate, BGREPL
- 2. Sh. Sanyam Agarwal, Advocate, BGREPL
- 3. Sh. Manish Dwivedi, S.E, UPPCL
- 4. Sh. Vikash Gupta, EE, UPPCL
- 5. Ms. Puja Priyadarshini, Advocate, UPPCL
- 6. Sh. Rishabh Bhardwaj, Advocate, UPPCL
- 7. Sh. Divyanshu Bhatt, Advocate, UPRVUNL
- 8. Sh. Prakhar Shukla, Advocate, UPRVUNL
- 9. Sh. Somsh Dinan
- 10.Sh. Harishchand, UPPC

ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 16.09.2025)

1. The Commission vide its earlier Order dated 23.07.2025 admitted the Petition and as requested, allowed Petitioner to file its additional submission within two weeks' time with a copy to the Respondent(s). Respondent(s) were granted five weeks' time to file their reply in the matter thereafter Petitioner could file its rejoinder within two weeks'

54.

a

Page **2** of **3**



time. On 28.07.2025, Petitioner filed its supplementary affidavit, On 11.09.2025, UPPCL filed its reply.

- 2. During the hearing today, Sh. Divyanshu Bhatt, Counsel of UPRVUNL sought one more week time to file its reply. Representative of Irrigation Department, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh requested the Commission for four weeks' time to file its reply. Advocate of Petitioner(s) sought two weeks' time to file its rejoinder to the replies of Respondent(s).
- 3. After hearing the parties, the Commission granted one week time to UPRVUNL and four weeks' time to Irrigation Department for filing their respective replies and Petitioner was allowed to file its rejoinder(s) to the replies of the Respondent(s) within two weeks' time, thereafter.

4. List the matter for next hearing on 30.10.2025.

(Sanjay Kumar Singh)
Member

Place: Lucknow

Dated: (7.00.2025

SCOLLIN * NOISSIMM

(Arvind Kumar)
Chairman

1 State of the sta