



THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

LUCKNOW

Petition No. 2217 of 2025

QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

Petition under Section 86(1)(b) & (f) along with other relevant provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking additional tariff on account of 'Change in Law' events and quantification of tariff escalation on account of increase in capital cost as claimed in the prayer of this Petition.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

1. B & G Renewable Energy Private Ltd. (BGREPL),

New No. 25, Old No. 10, Sir Madhavan Nair Road,
Mahalingapuram, Nungambakkam, Chennai – 600034.

2. Nirgajini Hydel Project Pvt. Ltd. (NHPL),

New No. 25, Old No. 10, Sir Madhavan Nair Road,
Mahalingapuram, Nungambakkam, Chennai – 600034.

3. Salawa Hydel Project Pvt. Ltd. (SHPL),

New No. 25, Old No. 10, Sir Madhavan Nair Road,
Mahalingapuram, Nungambakkam, Chennai – 600034.


..... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd. (UPPCL),

Through its Managing Director,

by



3



Shakti Bhawan 14 – Ashok Marg, Lucknow – 226001.

2. U.P. Rajya Vidut Utpadan Nigam Ltd. (UPRVUNL),

Formerly- U.P. Jal Vidyut Nigam Limited,

Through its Managing Director,

Shakti Bhawan 14 – Ashok Marg, Lucknow – 226001.

3. Principal Secretary,

Irrigation Department, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh,

Sachivalaya Annexe, Babu Bhawan, Lucknow-226001

.....Respondent(s)

FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT


1. Sh. Sanyam Agarwal, Advocate, BGREPL (*Attendance not marked*)
2. Ms. Chandini Bhatia, Advocate, BGREPL (*Attendance not marked*)
3. Sh. Manish Dwivedi, S.E (PPA), UPPCL
4. Sh. Vikash Gupta, EE(PPA), UPPCL
5. Ms. Puja Priyadarshini, Advocate, UPPCL
6. Sh. Rishabh Bhardwaj, Advocate, UPPCL
7. Sh. Divyanshu Bhatt, Advocate, UPRVUNL
8. Sh. Shashwat Singh, Advocate, UPRVUNL
9. Sh. Abhayaditya Singh, Advocate, UPRVUNL
10. Sh. Harishchand, JE-Meerut (Division), Irrigation Department

ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 30.10.2025)

1. The Commission, vide its earlier Order dated 17.09.2025, had granted one week time to UPRVUNL and four weeks' time to Irrigation Department for filing their respective replies and Petitioner was allowed to file its rejoinder(s) within two weeks' time,

by





thereafter. Neither UPRVUNL nor Irrigation Department have filed their replies in the matter. Petitioner has also not filed its rejoinder to the UPPCL's reply.

2. During the hearing today, Sh. Divyanshu Bhatt, Counsel of UPRVUNL apologised for the delay in filing its reply and requested for one more week time. Representative of Irrigation Department also sought four weeks' time to file its reply as the draft reply was under the approval process within its department. Advocate of Petitioner(s) sought one week to file its rejoinder to the UPPCL's reply.
3. After hearing the parties, the Commission granted one week time to UPRVUNL and four weeks' time to Irrigation Department for filing their respective replies and Petitioner was allowed to file its rejoinder(s) within two weeks' time, thereafter to the replies of the Respondent(s). Meanwhile the Petitioner will also file its rejoinder to UPPCL's reply.
4. List the matter for next hearing on 09.12.2025.

(Sanjay Kumar Singh)

Member

(Arvind Kumar)

Chairman

Place: Lucknow

Dated: 06.11.2025

