THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

LUCKNOW

Petition No. 2214 of 2025

QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

Petition U/s 86(1)(b) & (f) along with other relevant provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 seeking extension of the Commercial Operation Date (COD) under the Power Purchase Agreement, as claimed in the prayer of this Petition.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

1. **B & G Renewable Energy Private Ltd. (BGREPL),**
   New No. 25, Old No. 10, Sir Madhavan Nair Road,
   Mahalingapuram, Nungambakkam, Chennai – 600034.

2. **Nirgajini Hydel Project Pvt. Ltd. (NHPL),**
   New No. 25, Old No. 10, Sir Madhavan Nair Road,
   Mahalingapuram, Nungambakkam, Chennai – 600034.

3. **Salawa Hydel Project Pvt. Ltd. (SHPL),**
   New No. 25, Old No. 10, Sir Madhavan Nair Road,
   Mahalingapuram, Nungambakkam, Chennai – 600034.

..... Petitioner(s)

Versus

1. **Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd. (UPPCL),**
   Through its Managing Director,
   Shakti Bhawan 14 – Ashok Marg, Lucknow – 226001.

2. **U.P. Rajya Vidut Utpadan Nigam Ltd. (UPRVUNL),**
Formerly- U.P. Jal Vidyut Nigam Limited,
Through its Managing Director,
Shakti Bhawan 14 – Ashok Marg, Lucknow – 226001.

3. **Principal Secretary,**
Irrigation Department, Govt. of Uttar Pradesh,
Sachivalaya Annexe, Bapu Bhawan, Lucknow-226001

.....Respondent(s)

FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT

1. Sh. D.D. Chopra, Sr. Advocate, BGREPL
2. Sh. Shailesh Verma, Advocate, BGREPL
3. Ms. Chandini Bhatia, Advocate, BGREPL
4. Sh. Sanyam Agarwal, Advocate, BGREPL
5. Sh. Manish Dwivedi, S.E, UPPCL
6. Ms. Puja Priyadarshini, Advocate, UPPCL
7. Sh. Rishabh Bhardwaj, Advocate, UPPCL
8. Sh. Arvind Kumar, E.E, UPRVUNL
9. Sh. Brijesh Kumar, S.E, UPRVUNL
10. Sh. Divyanshu Bhatt, Advocate, UPRVUNL
11. Sh. Harsh Vardhan Shukla, Advocate, UPRVUNL

ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 08.07.2025)

1. The Commission adjourned the last hearing dated 10.06.2025 on the Petitioners' request.

2. During the hearing today, Sr. Advocate Sh. D.D. Chopra, Counsel of Petitioner(s) submitted that instant matter pertains to extension of SCOD for the Nirgajini and Salawa hydel power project. Sh. D.D. Chopra further sought two weeks' time to furnish

additional submissions regarding efforts made/justifications for the extension of SCOD. Advocate of UPPCL sought six weeks' time to file its reply. Advocate of UPRVUNL also sought six weeks' time to file its reply.

3. After hearing the parties, the Commission admits the Petition and allows Petitioner to file its additional submission within two weeks' time with a copy to the Respondent(s). Thereafter, Respondent(s) are granted five weeks' time to file their reply in the matter. Subsequently, Petitioner may file their rejoinder to the replies of the Respondent(s) within two weeks' time.

4. List the matter for next hearing on 16.09.2025.

(Sanjay Kumar Singh)
Member

(Arvind Kumar)
Chairman

Place: Lucknow
Dated: 23 .07.2025