

# THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION LUCKNOW

## Petition No. 1110/2016

## QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

#### IN THE MATTER OF

Adoption of tariffs discovered through Tariff Based Competitive Bidding Process as per the bidding procedure specified by Ministry of New & Renewable Energy (MNRE), Govt. of India for procurement of 215MW Solar Power.

#### AND

#### IN THE MATTER OF

- U.P. Power Corporation Ltd. (UPPCL),
   Shakti Bhawan, 14-Ashok Marg, Lucknow 226001
- 2. **U.P. New & Renewable Energy Development Agency (UPNEDA),**Vibhuti Khand, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow-226010 ............ Petitioner(s)

#### **VERSUS**

1. Adani Green Energy 23 Ltd. (AGE23L),

(Formerly- Essel Infraprojects Ltd.),
Adani Corporate House, Shantigram,
Near Vaishno Devi Circle, S.G. Highway, Khodiyar, Ahmedabad – 382421

- Surana Telecom & Power Ltd. (STPL),
   5th Floor, Surya Tower, SP Road, Secunderabad 500003
- Sudhakar Infratech Pvt. Ltd. (SIPL),
   Plot No. 3-6-661, Flat No. 301, Sai Sandya Apartment,
   Street No. 9, Himayath Nagar, Hyderabad 500029



( B

Page 1 of 4

4



# 4. Lohia Developers (India) Pvt. Ltd. (LDIPL),

S-569, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Greater Kailash-II, New Delhi - 110048

# 5. Ferromar Shipping Pvt. Ltd. (FSPL),

Anand Bhavan, Old Station Road, Margoa, Goa - 403601

## 6. Technical Associates Ltd. (TAL),

8th KM, Faizabad Road, Vijaypur, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow - 226010

## 7. Sahasradhara Energy Pvt. Ltd. (SEPL),

New No. 25, Old No 10, Sir Madhavan Nair Road, Mahalingapuram, Nungambakkam, Chennai -600034

## 8. Pinnacle Jackson,

T-15, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, Green Park Main, New Delhi - 110016

# 9. Adani Green Energy (Uttar Pradesh) Ltd. (AGEUPL),

Adani Corporate House, Shantigram, Near Vaishno Devi Circle, S.G. Highway, Khodiyar, Ahmedabad – 382421

#### 10. Avadh Rubber Prop Madras Elastomers Ltd. (ARPMEL),

B-13, Industrial Area, Opp. Amausi Aerodrome, Lucknow – 226008

#### 11. Salasar Green Energy Pvt. Ltd. (SGEPL),

(A Project company formed by M/s N.P. Agro (India) Industries Ltd.), 253, Madhowari Behind Madhu Ice Factory, Bareilly – 243005

#### 12. Sukhbir Agro Energy Ltd. (SAEL),

Asset No. 11, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Tower-A, World Mark-1, Aerocity, New Delhi – 110037.

#### 13.PSPN Synergy Pvt. Ltd. (PSPN),

(A Project company formed by M/s Shree Radhey Radhey Ispat Pvt. Ltd.), 122/235, SA, Plot No. 17, Fazalganj, Kanpur – 208012

#### 14.Sh. Rama Shankar Awasthi,

301, Surbhi Deluxe Apartments, 6/7 Dalibagh, Lucknow - 226001

54.



n.

Page **2** of **4** 



...... Respondent(s)

#### **FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT**

- 1. Sh. Himanshu Singh, Advocate, TAL, Avadh Rubber, & PSPN
- 2. Sh. Vipin Mishra, Advocate, TAL
- 3. Sh. Divyanshu Bhatt, Advocate, UPNEDA
- 4. Sh. Sashwat Singh, Advocate, UPNEDA
- 5. Sh. Narendra Singh, SPO-II, UPNEDA
- 6. Sh. Rama Shankar Awasthi
- 7. Sh. Manish Dwivedi, SE-PPA, UPPCL
- 8. Sh. C.P. Maurya, AE-PPA, UPPCL
- 9. Md. Altaf Mansoor, Advocate, UPPCL
- 10.Sh. Tanay Chaudhary, Advocate, UPPCL
- 11.Sh. Ruchir Ranjan Rai, Advocate, Pinnacle & Salasar Green Energy
- 12.Sh. Ajai Vikram Singh, Advocate, LDIPL
- 13.Sh. Atul Kumar Singh, Engineer, AGE23L & AGEUPL

#### ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 12.08.2025)

- The Commission, vide its earlier Order dated 19.06.2025, had allowed Petitioner(s) and Sh. Awasthi to file their respective submissions within four weeks' time. On 11.08.2025, UPPCL & UPNEDA filed their respective submissions in the matter. SGEPL did not filed its submissions in the matter.
- 2. During the hearing, Counsels of Petitioner(s) submitted that they had filed their respective affidavit on the previous day. Sh. Awasthi sought four more weeks' time to file his submissions in the matter. The Commission expressed displeasure on the delayed filing by the parties. Sh. Ruchir Ranjan Rai, Advocate of SGEPL, submitted that on the last occasion the affidavit could not be filed due to defect and requested the Commission to allow him to file his submissions within a week time else at least by end of the day. The Commission stated that even after providing several opportunities SGEPL had failed to file its response in the matter. However, the Commission accepted the request of filing by the end of the day of hearing i.e., 12.08.2025 but not before

<u>by</u>



M



observing that such a lackadaisical approach cannot be tolerated in the proceedings. Accordingly, the Commission closes the opportunity for the Respondent No. 2, 3, 5, & 11 for filing their responses in the matter.

3. After hearing the parties, the Commission granted four weeks to Sh. Awasthi for filing his submissions. The Commission also allows four weeks' time to the permitted project developers, i.e., other than for whom opportunity is closed by the Commission, for filing their responses to the Petitioner(s) submissions.

List the matter for next hearing on 16.09.2025.

(Sanjay Kumar Singh) Member

Place: Lucknow

Dated: 20 .08.2025

(Arvind Kumar)