

# THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION LUCKNOW

# **Petition No. 1816 of 2022**

# **QUORUM**

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

## IN THE MATTER OF

Petition under Section 94(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Regulation 51 of the UPERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2019 seeking limited review of the Order dated 16.06.2021 in Petition No. 1565 of 2020 issued by this Hon'ble Commission.

#### AND

#### IN THE MATTER OF

1. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited,

(Through its Chief Engineer, PPA(Renewable)) Shakti Bhawan 14 Ashok Marg, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.

2. Paschimanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited,

(Through its Managing Director) Victoria Park, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh – 250001.

3. Madhyanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited,

(Through its Managing Director) 4A, Gokhale Marg, Block I, Gokhale Vihar, Butler Colony, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh – 226001.

4. Purvanchal Vidyut Vitaran Nigam Limited,

(Through its Managing Director) DLW, Bhikharipur, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh – 221004.

5. Dakshinanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited,

(Though its Managing Director) Urja Bhawan, 220 KV Sub Station, Bypass Road, Sikandra, Agra, Uttar Pradesh - 282007.

6. Kanpur Electricity Supply Company Ltd.,

(Through its Managing Director) 14/71, Civil Lines, KESA House, Kanpur-208001.

...... Petitioner









## THE FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT

- 1. Shri Deepak Raizada, CE, UPPCL
- 2. Shri Abhishek Kumar, Advocate, Petitioner
- 3. Shri Nived Veerapaneni, Advocate, Petitioner

#### **ORDER**

(DATE OF HEARING: 11.03.2025)

- 1. The petition has been filed under Section 94(1)(f) of the Electricity Act, 2003, read with Regulation 51 of the UPERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2019, seeking review of the Commission's Order dated 16.06.2021 in Petition No. 1565 of 2020. The Petitioner specifically prays for a review to the extent of dispensing with the directions for depositing ₹5,875.31 crores towards the RPO requirement of FY 2021-22.
- 2. In its Order dated 24.12.2024, the Commission had directed the Petitioner to file condonation application stating specific reasons underlying the delay in filing the review petition, providing circumstances and factors that contributed to the inability to adhere to the prescribed limitation period rather than providing bald and prosaic justification of one line.
- 3. In its Order dated 10.02.2025, the Commission condoned the delay and admitted the Petition in view of the submission made by the Petitioner and the Order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court. However, the Commission observed that the present Review Petition is intrinsically linked to Petition No. 1565 of 2020. During the proceedings of said Petition, the Commission raised its concern over non submission of roadmap and observed that the affidavit lacked a long-term plan to meet the RPO targets. Reiterating its firm stance, the Commission made it clear that they do not wish to grant any waiver for RPO targets and asserted that relaxation, if any, in the present as well as related petitions (Petition No. 1809 & 1816), shall be considered only after the submission of a comprehensive and actionable roadmap for fulfilling the obligations and then demonstrating the intent to make good of the plan on affidavit.





Page **2** of **3** 



4. In the present Review Petition the Petitioner has prayed before the Commission to review the Commission's Order dated 16.06.2021 in Petition No. 1565 of 2020 to the extent of dispensing with the directions for deposit of Rs. 5873.3 crores towards RPO requirement of FY 2021-22. This issue has summarily been addressed by the Commission in its Order dated 19.03.2025 in related Petition No. 1565 of 2020.

(Arvind Kumar)

Chairman

5. With above directions, the Petition is disposed of.

(Sanjay Kumar Singh)

**Member** 

Place: Lucknow

Dated: 19.03.2025

