



THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

LUCKNOW

Petition No. 2299 of 2025

QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

Hon'ble Shri Griesh Kumar Vaish, Member (Law)

IN THE MATTER OF

Revision under Sub Rule 3 of Rule 3 of Works of Licensees Rules, 2006.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

1. Chandan Singh, aged about 47 years, son of Krishna Pratap Singh.
2. Rohit Singh, aged about 42 years, son of Krishna Pratap Singh
both residents of: House No. 7/3/9, Devkali Road, District: Ayodhya,
presently residing at: House No. 193A, Village & Post Kannupur,
Jalalpur, District: Ambedkar Nagar.

.....Revisionists

VERSUS

1. U.P. Power Transmission Corporation Limited, Basti.
2. Sub Divisional Officer, Electricity, Sub-Division- Gidahi, Basti.
3. Executive Engineer (Transmission), Basti.
4. District Magistrate/Collector, Ambedkar Nagar.
5. Tehsildar, Tehsil-Tanda, District: Ambedkar Nagar.

..... Respondents

THE FOLLOWING WAS PRESENT

Sh. Chandan Singh, Revisionist.





ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 21.07.2026)

1. During the course of hearing, Sh. Chandan Singh, the Revisionist, filed a rejoinder to the reply submitted by the District Magistrate, Ambedkar Nagar, in compliance with the Commission's Order dated 10.06.2026.
2. The Revisionist submitted that decrees of the civil court relied upon by him have neither been set aside nor stayed by any competent court. Relying upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Sanjiv Kumar Singh v. State of Bihar* dated. 24.01.2023 in Petition for Special Leave to Appeal (C) Nos. 19038 of 2022, he contended that the mere filing of an appeal or pendency of proceedings does not automatically operate as a stay of a decree, therefore, the said decrees continue to remain valid and operative. Hence, the same cannot be ignored while considering his claim for compensation.
3. The Commission observed that, despite the specific directions issued vide Order dated 10.06.2026, no representative from the office of the District Magistrate, Ambedkar Nagar was present at the time of hearing.
4. The Commission directs that a copy of the rejoinder filed by the Revisionist during the hearing be provided to the District Magistrate, Ambedkar Nagar and accordingly, be forwarded to him.
5. Considering the submissions made by the Revisionist and the rejoinder filed during the hearing, the Commission directs the District Magistrate, Ambedkar Nagar to file its reply in the matter. The Commission further directs the District Magistrate, Ambedkar Nagar to ensure the presence of the concerned officer before the Commission on the next date of hearing for proper consideration of the matter.
6. Accordingly, the matter is listed for further hearing on 20.08.2026.

(Griesh Kumar Vaish)
Member (Law)

(Sanjay Kumar Singh)
Member

(Arvind Kumar)
Chairman



Place: Lucknow

Dated: 31.07.2026