



**THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
LUCKNOW**

Petition No. 2354 of 2026

QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

Hon'ble Shri Griesh Kumar Vaish, Member (Law)

IN THE MATTER OF

Petition under Section 86(1)(f) read with Section 86(1)(k) of the Electricity Act, 2003 along with Regulation 57 of Uttar Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission (Conduct Business) Regulations, 2019 seeking appropriate reliefs with regard to extension of scheduled commissioning date and stay off any coercive measures.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

Jewar Transmission Ltd.

Through its Authorized Representative
2nd Floor, Niryat Bhawan, Rao Tularam Marg,
Vasant Vihar, Opposite Army Hospital & Referral,
New Delhi-110057

..... **Petitioner**

VERSUS

1. **Uttar Pradesh Power Transmission Corporation Limited,**
Through its Chairman,
Shakti Bhawan, 14, Ashok Marg, Lucknow - 226001.
2. **Paschimanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited,**
Through its Managing Director,
Hydel Colony, Victoria Park – Meerut – 250001.
3. **Madhyanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited,**
Through its Managing Director,
4-A Gokhale Marg, Lucknow – 226001.





4. **Purvanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited,**
Through its Managing Director,
Bhikharipur, P.O DLW Varanasi – 221010.
 5. **Dakshinanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited,**
Through its Managing Director,
Urja Bhawan, NH-2, (Agra Delhi bypass road)
Sikandara Agra – 282002
 6. **Kanpur Electricity Supply Company Limited,**
Through its Managing Director,
14/71 Civil lines, Kanpur – 208001.
 7. **Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited,**
Through its Managing Director,
7th Floor, Shakti Bhawan, 14, Ashok Marg, Lucknow - 226001.
 8. **PFC Consulting Limited**
Through its Chief Executive Officer
First Floor, Urja Nidhi 1, Barakhamba Lane,
Connaught Place, New Delhi - 110 001
- Respondents

THE FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT

1. Sh. Aryan Sarawgi, Advocate, Petitioner
2. Sh. Divyanshu Bhatt, Advocate, UPPTCL
3. Sh. Abhayaditya Singh, Advocate, UPPTCL
4. Sh. Prakher Shukla, Advocate, UPPTCL
5. Sh. Aniket Prasoon, Advocate, UPPCL
6. Ms. Anannya Sinha, Advocate, UPPCL
7. Sh. Shubham Singh, Advocate, UPPCL
8. Ms. Pratiksha Chaturvedi, Advocate, UPPCL
9. Sh. Sanjay Kumar Chaurasia, SE (PPA) UPPCL
10. Sh. Rajiv Singh EE, UPPCL
11. Sh. A.K. Prabhakar, EE, UPPTCL





ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 22.09.2026)

1. Sh. Aryan Sarawgi, Learned Counsel for the Petitioner, submitted that Respondent No. 1 and Respondent No. 2-7 have filed their replies only last week. Accordingly, he sought time to examine the replies and file his rejoinders thereto.
2. Sh. Aniket Prasoon, Learned Counsel for the Respondent No.2-7 submitted that the Commission, in its last ROP, had recorded that the matter was to be heard on the issue of maintainability, particularly in view of the fact that the project has yet not achieved commercial operation.
3. The Commission after hearing the parties, directs the Petitioner to file its rejoinder to the replies filed by the Respondents within one (01) week. The Commission further observed that, upon completion of pleadings, the matter shall first be taken up for consideration on the issue of maintainability of the Petition.
4. Accordingly, the matter is next listed for hearing on 17.11.2026.

(Griesh Kumar Vaish)
Member (Law)

(Sanjay Kumar Singh)
Member

(Arvind Kumar)
Chairman

Place: Lucknow

Dated: 29.09.2026



