



THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
LUCKNOW
Petition No. 2299 of 2025

QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

Revision under Sub Rule 3 of Rule 3 of Works of Licensees Rules, 2006.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

1. Chandan Singh, aged about 47 years, son of Krishna Pratap Singh.
 2. Rohit Singh, aged about 42 years, son of Krishna Pratap Singh
- both residents of: House No. 7/3/9, Devkali Road, District: Ayodhya, presently residing at: House No. 193A, Village & Post Kannupur, Jalalpur, District: Ambedkar Nagar.

.....Revisionists

VERSUS

1. U.P. Power Transmission Corporation Limited, Basti.
2. Sub Divisional Officer, Electricity, Sub-Division- Gidahi, Basti.
3. Executive Engineer (Transmission), Basti.
4. District Magistrate/Collector, Ambedkar Nagar.
5. Tehsildar, Tehsil-Tanda, District: Ambedkar Nagar.

..... Respondents

THE FOLLOWING WAS PRESENT

1. Sh. Chandan Singh, Revisionist.



Signature


Signature



ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 09.06.2026)

1. Sh. Chandan Singh, the revisionist, submitted that the District Magistrate, Ambedkar Nagar, has not furnished any reply in compliance with the directions issued by the Commission vide Orders dated 11.03.2026 and 05.05.2026.
2. During the course of hearing, the Commission observed that the reply dated. 05.06.2026 from the District Magistrate, Ambedkar Nagar, had been received in the Commission. However, as per revisionist the same has not been served upon him.
3. The Commission directed to hand over the reply dated. 05.06.2026 of DM, Ambedkar Nagar to the Revisionist, which was handed over to the revisionist by an officer of the Commission during the course of hearing.
4. The Commission observed that since DM, Ambedkar Nagar had submitted that there is a title dispute, which is pending on the land in question, therefore prima facie the revision application of revisionist may not be maintainable before the Commission and suggested that if revisionist wishes to challenge the version of DM, Ambedkar Nagar, he may approach the appropriate forum.
5. However, the revisionist submitted that the report of the District Magistrate is incorrect and sought two weeks to file a rejoinder to the reply submitted by the District Magistrate, Ambedkar Nagar.
6. The Commission, as per the request of revisionist, grants two weeks' time to the Revisionist to file his rejoinder to the reply submitted by the District Magistrate, Ambedkar Nagar. Further, the Commission also directs DM, Ambedkar Nagar to send the concerned officer to appear before the Commission on next date of hearing.
7. The matter is listed for the next hearing on 21.07.2026.


(Sanjay Kumar Singh)
Member




(Arvind Kumar)
Chairman

Place: Lucknow

Dated: 10 .06.2026