



**THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
LUCKNOW**

Petition No. 2374 of 2026

QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman

Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member

IN THE MATTER OF

Revision Under Rule 3 (3) of the Work of Licensees Rules, 2006.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

1. Naresh Kumar Yadav

Son of: Sh. Ram Khelawan

Resident of: House No.8/217A,

Sector-8, Indira Nagar, Lucknow, U.P.

2. Pankaj Yadav

Son of: Sh. Lala Ram Yadav

Resident of: House No.3/133,

Mohalla: Sanjay Nagar, Amethi, Lucknow, U.P.

.... Revisionists

Versus

1. District Magistrate, Lucknow

Managing Director, Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Ltd.,

7th Floor, 14 Ashok Marg, Lucknow

3. Managing Director, Uttar Pradesh Power Transmission Corporation Ltd.,



by

3



7th Floor, 14 Ashok Marg, Lucknow

4. Executive Engineer

Electricity Transmission Division, Mohanlalganj,
Satrikh Transmission Line, Lucknow.

5. Smt. Dharmavati,

wife of: Ramakant, resident of: Chand Saray,
Post-Gosaiganj, Distt-Lucknow. U.P.

... Respondents

THE FOLLOWING WAS PRESENT

1. Sh. Shailesh Kumar Yadav, Advocate, Revisionists
2. Sh. Rahul Kumar Ojha, SDO, ETSD-I, BBK, UPPTCL
3. Sh. Suraj Kumar Yadav, EE, ETD-3, LKO, UPPTCL
4. Sh. Sawan Kumar, JE, ETD-3, LKO, UPPTCL

ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 26.05.2026)

1. Shri Shailesh Kumar Yadav, Counsel appearing on behalf of the Revisionists, submitted that the present petition has been filed challenging the order dated 28.08.2023 passed by the District Magistrate, Lucknow under Rule 3(2) of the Works of Licensees Rules, 2006. It was submitted that the said order was originally passed in proceedings concerning Respondent No. 5, Smt. Dharmavati, who subsequently transferred the subject land to Revisionist No. 2, Shri Pankaj Yadav, and thereafter the same has been sold to Revisionist No. 1, Shri Naresh Kumar Yadav through a registered sale deed dated 07.03.2025.

It has further been submitted that the Revisionists are in settled possession of the subject land and have already constructed a two-storeyed residential house thereon. Learned counsel also submitted that in similar matters, the issue is presently under consideration before the Hon'ble High Court.

2. Shri Rahul Kumar Ojha, Sub-Divisional Officer, appearing on behalf of UPPTCL, submitted that the District Magistrate, Lucknow, vide order dated 28.08.2023,



by

3



had dismissed the objections filed dated. 27.05.2023 by Smt. Dharmavati and permitted UPPTCL to proceed with the construction of Tower No. 10/0 in LILO of 220 kV Barabanki-Satrikh Road transmission line of 400kV Mohanlalganj Sub-Station. It was further submitted that the District Magistrate had directed payment of compensation to the extent of 85% of the tower base area as per circle rate in accordance with the Government of Uttar Pradesh Guidelines dated 06.09.2018.

It was also submitted that at the time of passing of the said order, the present Revisionists were not parties to the proceedings, as the land was subsequently transferred in their favour.

3. Learned counsel for the Revisionists further submitted that the alignment of the transmission line is such that it adversely affects the residential structure of the Revisionists, and that the line ought to be aligned in a manner causing minimal interference with their property. It was contended that due to the position of the tower and its cross-arms, the effective use of the residential premises would be substantially affected. In response, the SDO, UPPTCL submitted that the cross-arms of the proposed tower are at a distance of approximately 9-10 meters from the residential structure of the Revisionists and that, as per applicable technical standards, the required minimum clearance for a 220 kV transmission line is 4.6 meters. It was submitted that the proposed construction is in compliance with safety norms and does not pose any risk.
4. Upon a query from the Commission regarding the location of the proposed tower and the entitlement of compensation, the representative of UPPTCL submitted that the tower location falls within the land previously held by Smt. Dharmavati and subsequently transferred to Revisionist No. 2. It was further submitted that no part of the tower base falls upon the land claimed by Revisionist No. 1 and that compensation has not yet been disbursed as the foundation work has not commenced.
5. The Commission notes that the present petition has been filed by the Revisionists pursuant to the direction dated 30.04.2026 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Allahabad, Lucknow Bench, in Writ-C No. 4136 of 2026 titled "*Naresh Kumar Yadav and another vs. State of U.P. and others.*"



by

by



Upon consideration of the submissions advanced by the parties, the Commission admits the petition and directs the Respondents District Magistrate Lucknow and UPPTCL to file a detailed reply within a period of one (1) week. The Revisionists may file rejoinder, if any, within a period of two (2) weeks thereafter.

6. Accordingly, the matter is listed for next hearing on 25.06.2026.

(Sanjay Kumar Singh)
Member



(Arvind Kumar)
Chairman

Place: Lucknow

Dated: 01 .06.2026