



**THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION
LUCKNOW**

Petition No. 2399 of 2026

QUORUM

Hon'ble Shri Arvind Kumar, Chairman
Hon'ble Shri Sanjay Kumar Singh, Member
Hon'ble Shri Griesh Kumar Vaish, Member (Law)

IN THE MATTER OF

Petition under Sections 86(1)(K), 94 and other applicable provisions of the Electricity Act, 2003 read with Clause 9.5 and other applicable provisions of The Uttar Pradesh Electricity SUPPLY Code, 2005 read with Regulation 57 of the UPERC (Conduct of Business) Regulations, 2019, seeking clarification, interpretation and consequential directions regarding implementation of Clauses 4.6(e)(ii) and 4.8(f) of The Uttar Pradesh Electricity Supply Code, 2005 and operationalization of the 15% supervision scheme.

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

M/s Indus Towers Limited,

(Through its authorized signatory) Building No. 10, Tower-A, 4th Floor, DLF Cyber City,
Gurugram 122 002.Petitioner

VERSUS

1. Uttar Pradesh Power Corporation Limited,

(Through its Managing Director) Shakti Bhawan 14 Ashok Marg, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh-226001.

2. Madhyanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited,

(Through its Managing Director) 4A, Gokhale Marg, Block I, Gokhale Vihar, Butler Colony, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh – 226001.

3. Purvanchal Vidyut Vitaran Nigam Limited,

(Through its Managing Director) DLW Bhikharipur, Varanasi, Uttar Pradesh – 221004.





4. **Paschimanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited,**
(Through its Managing Director) Victoria Park, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh – 250001.
5. **Dakshinanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Limited,**
(Through its Managing Director) Urja Bhawan, 220 KV Sub Station, Bypass Road, Sikandra, Agra, Uttar Pradesh - 282007.
6. **Kanpur Electricity Supply Company Limited (KESCO),**
(Through its Managing Director) Kesa House, 14/71, Civil Lines, Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh – 208001
7. **Noida Power Company Limited,**
(Through its Director) Electric Sub-station, Knowledge Park-IV, Greater Noida, Gautam Buddha Nagar, Uttar Pradesh – 201310.

..... Respondents


THE FOLLOWING WERE PRESENT

1. Shri Mohd. Altaf Mansoor, Advocate, Petitioner
2. Shri Tanay Chaudhary, Advocate, Petitioner

ORDER

(DATE OF HEARING: 17.09.2026)


1. The present Petition has been filed by the Petitioner being aggrieved by the non-implementation of Clauses 4.6(e)(ii) and 4.8(f) of the Electricity Supply Code, 2005, which provide for 15% Supervision Charges to be paid to the respective DISCOM in respect of LT electricity connections up to 50 kW, which have ceased to be implemented by the DISCOMS after the issuance of the Cost Data Book, 2025.
2. The Petitioner has submitted that it is a leading telecom company incorporated under the provisions of the Companies Act engaged in establishment, operation and maintenance of telecom tower infrastructure throughout the country, which necessarily requires timely grant of electricity connections and completion of associated infrastructure works.





3. During the previous hearing on 28.07.2026, the Commission admitted the Petition and had directed the Petitioner to provide a copy of the Petition to the Respondents. The Commission had granted four weeks' time to the Respondents for making their submission and two weeks thereafter to the Petitioner for filing the rejoinder. However, no submission has been made by any of the Respondents.
4. During the present hearing, the Petitioner was represented by the Ld. Counsel Shri Mohd. Altaf Mansoor whereas, no representative or counsel was present from the Respondents side. The commission, expressing its displeasure on the conduct of Respondents, directs them to file their reply within next two weeks and further provides two weeks thereafter to the Petitioner for filing the rejoinder.
5. The matter shall be scheduled for next hearing on 03.11.2026.


Griesh Kumar Vaish
Member(Law)


(Sanjay Kumar Singh)
Member


(Arvind Kumar)
Chairman

Place: Lucknow

Dated: 22.09.2026



