



Uttar Pradesh Electricity Regulatory Commission

Kisan Mandi Bhawan, II Floor, Gomti Nagar, Lucknow-226010 Phone 2720426 Fax 2720423 E-mail secretary@uperc.org

Important

No. UPERC/Secy/Supply Code/2006-
Dated: 11.7.2006

To,

1. Managing Director, UP Power Corporation Ltd., 7th Floor Shakti Bhawan, 14 A, Ashok Marg, Lucknow.
2. Managing Director, Madhyanchal Vidyut Vitaran Nigam Ltd., 4 Gokhale Marg, Lucknow.
3. Managing Director, Poorvanchal Vidyut Vitaran Nigam Ltd., 64, Chandrika Colony, Siga, Varanasi.
4. Managing Director, Paschimanchal Vidyut Vitaran Nigam Ltd., Victoria Park, Meerut.
5. Managing Director, Dakshinanchal Vidyut Vitaran Nigam Ltd., Vidyut Bhawan, Galena Road, Agra
6. Managing Director, Kanpur Electric Supply Co. Ltd., 14/71, Civil Lines, KESA House, Kanpur.
7. Managing Director, Noida Power Company Ltd., Commercial Complex, H-Block, Alpha-Sector II, Greater Noida -201308.

Sir,

Certain issues have been raised by the distribution licensees, as well as consumers in respect of provisions in Electricity Supply Code-2005. I have been directed by the Commission to clarify as following:

(i) Agreement Format:

Discoms are insisting for submission of *two guarantors* at the time of new connections along with *Agreement Format* from the consumers. It is to clarify that no such provision of taking guarantees from two persons exists either in the Electricity Supply Code-2005, or is being proposed for amendment. The Standard Agreement Format, without any provision for two guarantors, as was applicable before 1st July 2002 remains in force. Accordingly, all field officers may be directed not to insist on the submission of two guarantors by prospective consumers.

(ii) Cost of Meter:

The consumers are showing reluctance in paying for the cost of electronic meters being installed at premise where electromechanical meters still exist. As per the Electricity Act-2003, it has been provided that correct and efficient meters should be installed and the consumer shall bear the cost /or pay security for licensee meter. Accordingly it is hereby clarified that the consumer shall bear the cost of the meter /or pay security for the licensee meter.

(iii) Assessment for Demand Charges

A clarification has been sought as to whether assessment for demand charges as given in clause 5.26.1 of Supply Code-2002 & clause 5.4 (d) of Supply Code-2005, is to be raised on 'maximum demand' of preceding 3 billing cycles, or the 'average maximum demand' of preceding 3 billing cycles. It is to clarify that 'average maximum demand' of preceding 3 billing cycles is to be taken while computing the assessment on demand charges.

2. It has come to the knowledge of the Commission that certain provisions proposed in the 3rd amendment of Electricity Supply Code-2005, are being implemented by the discoms. It is hereby informed that the Electricity Supply Code-2005 incorporating the 3rd amendment has not yet been notified. The new proposed provisions in 3rd amendment shall be effective only from the date of gazette notification by the State Government.
3. Field officers are writing directly or seeking telephonic clarification from the Commission. Any request for clarification should be addressed in writing to the Commission by the MD's. UPERC shall not respond to queries not received through MD of discoms.

(Sangeeta Verma)
Secretary

CC:

1. SP (IT) to post as ESC 05 clarification on UPERC web site.
2. Director (Tariff), Director (Legal), & Director (Dist.), PeO
3. Secretary