

BEFORE THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

Quorum

Shri Desh Deepak Verma, Chairman
Smt. Meenakshi Singh, Member
Shri I. B. Pandey, Member

In the matter of:

Recording and billing of simultaneous Maximum demand created at all 10 No. TractionSub Station in Mugalsarai – Kanpur Section of North Central Railway and revision of Tariff for Railway supply.

Senior Divisional Electrical Engineer (Traction Distribution) North Central Railway,
Divisional Railways Manager Office Allahabad.

Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Pradesh Purvanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd., DLW Bhikaripur Varanasi, through
its Executive Engineer (one of the subsidiary Company of Uttar Pradesh Power
Corporation, Ltd.).

Respondent

Present in the Hearing:

1. Shri Umesh Chandra Mishra Cos/TRD/North Central Railway, Allahabad
2. Shri Vivek Kumar Singh, Senior Divisional Elect. Engineer /TRD/ North Central Railway, Allahabad
3. Shri Dinesh Singh, OS/TRD/ North Central Railway, Allahabad

ORDER

(Hearing on 02.05.2014)

Whereas the Senior Divisional Electrical Engineer (Traction Distribution) North Central Railway, Divisional Railways Manager Office Allahabad has filed petition no. 930 / 2014 in the matter of Recording and billing of simultaneous Maximum demand created at all 10 No. TractionSub Station in Mugalsarai – Kanpur Section of North Central Railway and revision of Tariff for Railway supply.

Brief History

Senior Divisional Electrical Engineer Northern Central Railways has filed a Petition no. 930 of 2014 regarding amendment in the Tariff Order issued by the Commission effective from 16-04-2010.

In para 2 of the Rate schedule HV-3 of Railway Traction page 264 as mention below

“Demand Measurement at a particular time will be made on basis of Simultaneous maximum demands recorded in summation Kilo Volt Ampere Meter installed at contiguous substation **serviced by same grid transformer**”.

They have requested the deletion of the substation serviced by same grid transformer”.

They have further requested to substitute by the billing shall be done on the total simultaneous maximum demand at all the sub stations at any point of time during the month. (billing cycle)”.

They have said that DVVNL is doing on the basis of total simultaneous maximum demand as all the sub-station at any point of time during the month whereas PuVVNL is not following the agreement, so there is disparity in levying the charges.

Proceedings before the Commission

The Counsel for Northern Central Railway submitted that the agreement between railway and discoms was approved by UPPCL and in turn was executed between Northern Central Railway and Dakshinanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd. & Purvanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd. In the agreement it is mentioned that the maximum demand shall be computed on the basis of total simultaneous maximum demand at all the sub-station at any point of time during the month. DVVNL is following the agreement whereas DVVNL is not doing the same, so there is disparity in levying the charges. PuVVNL may be directed to follow the agreement and issue bills in accordance to the methodology adopted by DVVNL. PuVVNL was not represented.

The Commission directs PuVVNL to follow the agreement and issue bills on the methodology adopted by DVVNL. If UPPCL, being the parent company, has any objection then they can approach the Commission.

(I. B. Pandey)
Member

(Meenakshi Singh)
Member

(Desh Deepak Verma)
Chairman

Dated: 06.06.2014