

BEFORE THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION

**Quorum**

Shri Desh Deepak Verma, Chairman  
Smt. Meenakshi Singh, Member  
Shri I. B. Pandey, Member

**In the matter of:**

In the matter of Processing fees & cost towards loss of revenue in case of request for shifting & increasing height of transmission lines by out side agency.

**Petitioner**

UP Power Transmission Corporation Ltd. 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, Shakti Bhawan, 14 Ashok Marg, Lucknow.

**Present in the Hearing:**

1. Shri Rajiv Goyal, GM – Project & Power Trading NPCL.
2. Shri A. K. Arora, Resident Manager, NPCL.
3. Shri Khandelkar, Director, Commercial

**ORDER**

(Hearing on 18.07.2014)

Whereas the petitioner UP Power Transmission Corporation Ltd. 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, Shakti Bhawan, 14 Ashok Marg, Lucknow, has filed petition no. 643 / 2009 in the matter of Processing fees & cost towards loss of revenue in case of request for shifting & increasing height of transmission lines by out side agency.

The petitioner UPPTCL has filed petition no. 643/2009 for seeking the Commission's approval of application processing fees and cost towards loss of revenue due to shutdown HT lines on the request of outside agency. The Fees and Cost are based on voltage ratings as approved by BOD of UPPTCL.

(A) Application processing charges		
1	132 KV line	Rs. 25000.00
2	220 KV line	Rs. 50000.00
3	400 KV and above voltage	Rs. 100000.00

	line	
(B) Charges towards loss of revenue		
1	132 KV line	Rs. 2.00 Lac per line per day or part thereof
2	220 KV line	Rs. 4.00 Lac per line per day or part thereof
3	400 KV and above voltage line	Rs. 6.00 Lac per line per day or part thereof

On the perusal of the petition, it has been observed that the following documents and clarifications are required, to be submitted by the petitioner, for further processing:

- a. The section in Electricity Act 2003 under which the application processing fees & Cost towards loss of revenue has to be approved by UPERC.
- b. Reference to UPERC's regulations or the regulations of other Commission where such approvals have been provided by the respective Commission.
- c. Whether Power Grid has sought any approval from CERC for the approval of such processing fees & Costs and reference to CERC's order (if any) in this respect.

The petitioner submitted that the Commission approved the rates proposed by UPPCL as UPPTCL incurs loss due to shut down of transmission line and no electricity is transmitted during that period. NPCL submitted that these rates are very high, but some amount should be decided by the Commission for shut down of transmission and distribution lines of the discom as well as UPPCL as required by the other licensee.

The Commission finds that NRPC in its 26<sup>th</sup> meeting directed the power utilities of the States to discontinue the practice of raising demand notes against shut down of lines owned by power utilities during construction of a new transmission lines by POWER GRID.

The para B.11.6 of minutes of 23<sup>rd</sup> TCC and 26<sup>th</sup> NRPC meeting is reproduce below:

“Chairperson NRPC opined that the expenditure being pass through, the practice of raising Demand note against shutdown of lines could be done away with. After

deliberations, NRPC agreed that the practice of raising Demand notes against shutdown of lines owned by Power Utilities during construction of new transmission lines by POWERGRID should be discontinued.

Drawing similarity of the issue the Commission directs Transmission and Distribution Licensees of the State not to charge anything from other Transmission and Distribution licensees of the State against shutdown of lines owned by them during construction of new lines by the other Licensees of the State.

The Commission further directs UPPTCL to charge the rates as approved by their Board of Directors for shifting and increasing the height of transmission lines from other agencies under intimation to the Commission.

(I. B. Pandey)  
Member

(Meenakshi Singh)  
Member

(Desh Deepak Verma)  
Chairman

Dated: 19.08.2014