

**BEFORE THE UTTAR PRADESH ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION**

**Petition No.1273/2017**

**Quorum**

Shri S.K. Agrawal, Chairman

**In the matter of:**

Bill in not according to reading and charged excess amount.

Shri Ramchandra Sharma S/o Late Raghuveer, Village – Phuloor, Post & Tehsil –  
Malihabad, Dist. Lucknow

Petitioner

Versus

1. Executive Engineer, Electricity Distribution Division II, Madhyanchal Vidyut Vitran Nigam Ltd., 4/61-63, Indrlok, Hydrel Colony, Krishna Nagar, Lucknow.
2. S.D.O, 4/61-63, Indrlok, Hydrel Colony, Krishna Nagar, Lucknow.
3. J.E, 4/61-63, Indrlok, Hydrel Colony, Krishna Nagar, Lucknow.

Respondents

**Present in the hearing:**

1. Shri I.D.R. Kushwaha, Advocate
2. Shri A. K. Sharma, Advocate

**ORDER**

**(Hearing on 19.12.2017)**

The Petitioner through its petition claimed that the bill is not in accordance with the reading and he has been charged excess amount.

During the hearing on 19.12.2017, the UPPCL Counsel through application sought adjournment.

The Commission finds that it is the billing dispute and as per Hon'ble Supreme Court in case No. Appeal (civil) 2846 of 2006 in the matter of Maharashtra Electricity Regulatory Commission Vs Reliance Energy Ltd. & Ors. vide judgment dated 14.8.2007 and Hon'ble Appellate Tribunal in appeal no. 42 of 2006 in case of UPPCL and Others Vs. M/s Premier Ispat Pvt. Ltd. have decided that the individual consumer disputes cannot be agitated at

Commission level, the Commission has no jurisdiction to look into the merits of individual consumer disputes. It cannot be adjudicated by the Commission and is not maintainable before it.

The petitioner is advised by the Commission to file its case before CGRF in accordance with UPERC (CGRF and Electricity Ombudsman Regulation), 2007 and CGRF is directed to dispose of this case within 3 months.

Hence, the petition is disposed of as non maintainable.

(S.K Agrawal)  
Chairman

Dated: 28.12.2017